Advantages of Oxford UKA

John Dearborn, MD

Unicompartmental knee arthroplasty (UKA), commonly referred to as partial knee replacement, is a surgical procedure designed to treat osteoarthritis confined to a single compartment of the knee. Among the various UKA systems available worldwide, the Oxford UKA, developed in the United Kingdom, stands out as the leading and innovative solution because of unparalleled clinical outcomes. Although available in the United Kingdom for over a decade, the FDA recently approved the use of cementless Oxford UKA implants in the United States.

Historical Context and Design Principles

The Oxford UKA was first introduced in the late 1970s and has undergone several generations of refinement. Its design focuses on anatomical compatibility, a minimally invasive approach, and the use of a mobile bearing that replicates natural joint movement. The procedure is intended for patients with isolated medial compartment osteoarthritis, preserving healthy bone and tissue.

Clinical Advantages

Superior Patient Outcomes

- Reduced Pain and Faster Recovery: Because Oxford UKA is less invasive than total knee replacement, it results in less postoperative pain, quicker rehabilitation, and earlier return to daily activities. Many patients walk unaided within days and resume low-impact activities in a matter of weeks.
- Natural Knee Function: The mobile bearing design mimics the knee's natural movement, providing greater flexibility and range of motion compared to fixed-bearing UKA or total knee replacement systems.
- Lower Risk of Complications: Studies have shown that patients undergoing Oxford UKA have a reduced risk of infection, blood loss, and complications such as deep vein thrombosis, compared to total knee replacement.

Bone and Tissue Preservation

- Conservation of Bone Stock: The Oxford UKA removes only the affected compartment, preserving the majority of the bone, cartilage, and ligaments. This is

particularly advantageous for younger patients who may require future revision surgery.

- Retention of Cruciate Ligaments: Unlike some other arthroplasty procedures, the Oxford UKA preserves the anterior and posterior cruciate ligaments, maintaining proprioception and more natural knee kinematics.

Implant Technology and Design

Mobile Bearing System

- Reduced Wear: The mobile polyethylene bearing reduces the risk of wear and tear, leading to increased implant lifespan and better long-term outcomes.
- Self-Alignment: The design allows the bearing to self-align during movement, decreasing the likelihood of implant loosening or malalignment.

Minimally Invasive Surgical Technique

- Smaller Incisions: The Oxford UKA technique uses smaller incisions, resulting in less soft-tissue damage and scarring.
- Same-Day Discharge: Patients typically experience shorter facility stays (a few hours) and a reduced requirement for rehabilitation services.

Long-Term Durability and Success Rates

Proven Longevity

- Excellent Survival Rates: Multiple long-term studies report survival rates for Oxford UKA implants exceeding 95% at 10 to 15 years post-surgery.
- Low Revision Rates: The need for revision surgery is less frequent compared to other partial knee replacement systems, thanks to advances in implant design and surgical technique.

Economic and Societal Impact

Cost-Effectiveness

- Lower Overall Costs: Oxford UKA often leads to reduced healthcare costs due to shorter hospital stays, faster recovery, and fewer post-operative complications.

- Improved Quality of Life: Rapid restoration of mobility allows patients to return to work and participate in social activities sooner, benefiting both individuals and society.

Patient Selection and Indications

While not every patient with knee osteoarthritis is eligible for Oxford UKA, careful selection ensures optimal outcomes. Ideal candidates are those with disease limited to a single compartment, intact ligaments, and a moderate range of motion. Surgeons use advanced imaging and diagnostic criteria to assess suitability, further enhancing results.

Only surgeons who have completed the FDA-mandated training course are authorized to implant the Oxford UKA. Special additional certification is required for the use of the cementless implants that became available in the United States in 2025.

Published Benefits

Patient Satisfaction

- After one year, a randomized, controlled study showed that significantly more partial knee patients would have the operation again compared to total knee patients.[13]

Less Complications

- A multi-center study demonstrated decreased morbidity and complications of UKA compared to TKA[14]

Proven[2] and reproducible technique

- With Microplasty® Instrumentation[8]

Patient Preference

- 46% of patients would choose a UKA over a TKA when presented with the various risks and benefits of both options.[15] This is significantly higher than the 10% of knee replacement patients who receive a UKA today.[16]

Less Opioid Usage

- A study showed that UKA patients require fewer narcotics following surgery, for a shorter duration of use, less refills, and have a lower likelihood of narcotic requirement at 4 weeks.[17]

Summary of Key Advantages

- Minimally invasive surgery, preserving healthy bone and tissue
- Mobile bearing design for natural movement and reduced wear
- Rapid recovery and improved postoperative outcomes
- Excellent long-term durability and low revision rates
- Cost-effective solution for isolated knee osteoarthritis
- Retention of cruciate ligaments, maintaining proprioception

Conclusion

The Oxford UKA represents a significant advancement in orthopedic surgery, providing patients with a safe, effective, and long-lasting solution for unicompartmental knee arthritis. Its unique combination of anatomic design, mobile bearing technology, and minimally invasive technique delivers superior outcomes, faster recovery, and a better overall quality of life. Although several implant companies have partial knee replacements on the market, the Oxford system remains the benchmark for excellence in partial knee replacement.

References

1. Data on file at Zimmer Biomet. Based on Market Analysis and Registry Data, gathered September 2017.
2. Price, A. and Svard, U. A Second Decade Lifetable Survival Analysis of the Oxford Unicompartmental Knee Arthroplasty. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2011 Jan;469(1): 174-9.
3. Pandit *et al*. The clinical outcome of minimally invasive phase 3 Oxford unicompartmental knee arthroplasty. Bone Joint J 2015;97-B:1493–1500.
4. Svard, U. and Price, A. Oxford Medial Unicompartmental Knee Arthroplasty. A Survival Analysis of an Independent Series. Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery. 83:191–194. 2001.
5. Price, A. *et al*. Long-term Clinical Results of the Medial Oxford Unicompartmental Knee Arthroplasty. Clinical Orthopedics and Related Research. 435:171–180. 2005
6. Kim, K.T. *et al*. A Prospective Analysis of Oxford Phase 3 Unicompartmental Knee Arthroplasty. Orthopedics. 30(5 Suppl): 15-18, 2007.

7. Goodfellow, J. and O'Connor, J. The Mechanics of the Knee and Prosthesis Design. Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery (Br). 60(3):358–69, 1978.
8. Hurst JM *et al*. Radiographic Comparison of Mobile- Bearing Partial Knee Single-Peg versus Twin-Peg Design. The Journal of Arthroplasty. 30(3): 475-478. 2015.
9. Berend, K, *et al*. New Instrumentation Reduces Operative Time in Medial Unicompartmental Knee Arthroplasty Using the Oxford Mobile Bearing Design. JISRF. Reconstructive Review. Vol. 5, No. 4, December 2015.
10. Koh IJ, *et al*. Are the Oxford medial unicompartmental knee arthroplasty new instruments reducing the bearing dislocation risk while improving components relationships? A case control study. Orthop Traumatol Surg Res (2016).
11. Tu, Yihui, *et al*. "Superior femoral component alignment can be achieved with Oxford microplasty instrumentation after minimally invasive unicompartmental knee arthroplasty." Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy (2016): 1-7.
12. Psychoyios, V., *et al*. Wear of Congruent Meniscal Bearings in Unicompartmental Knee Arthroplasty. Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery (Br). 80 B: 876-82, 1998.
13. Beard D, Price A, Davies L, *et al*. A Multicentre Randomised Study Comparing Total or Partial Knee Replacement – One Year Results of The Topkat Trial. BASK. Liverpool, UK 2016.
14. Brown, N.M., *et al*. Total Knee Arthroplasty Has Higher Postoperative Morbidity Than Unicompartmental Knee Arthroplasty: A Multicenter Analysis. The Journal of Arthroplasty. (2012) 86:90.
15. Hutyra, C.A., *et al*. Patient Preferences for Surgical Treatment of Knee Osteoarthritis. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2020;102:2022-31
16. UK National Joint Registry Report. 2020.
17. Dattilo, JR. *et al*. Narcotic Consumption in Opioid Naïve Patients Undergoing Unicompartmental and Total Knee Arthroplasty. The Journal of Arthroplasty 35 (2020) 2022-2026.
18. Fox, D. (2012) Oxford Fixed Lateral Tibia - Lateral Tibial Plateau Profile Mapping Study Report. [Unpublished report]